

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,290	07/24/2001	Blake B. Bogrett	7150	5201	
7590 10/19/2004  Robert D. Touslee Johns Manville Corporation			EXAMINER		
			PIERCE, JEREMY R		
10100 West Ute Avenue Littleton, CO 80127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>~~</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\mathcal{C}$				
Advisory Action	09/912,290	BOGRETT ET AL.	<i>,</i> 				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeremy R. Pierce	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered above or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
<del></del>							
	•						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the specification and the drawings of the subject patent application both indicate that the fibrous layer does not and cannot have folds because the blanket is cut to widths equal to the thickness of the resilient fibrous batts. However, there is no recitation in the specification that the material has no folds. Negative limitations are not allowed in the claims unless expressly set forth in the specification. Applicant argues that Brandt et al. disclose the fibers are not oriented generally perpendicular to the planes of the ends of the web. However, this feature is not in the claims. Claim 1 recites the fibers are "predominately lying in planes that extend substantially perpendicular to the planes of the major surfaces and the end surfaces of the blanket." So it is the planes that the fibers lie in that must be perpendicular to the planes of the end of the web, not the fibers themselves. This feature is shown in Brandt et al.

PRIMARY